

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/822,103 03/30		03/30/2001	Cary Lee Bates	RAL920010007US1	9182	
25299	7590	11/29/2002				
IBM CORI	PORATIO	N	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 12 DEPT 9CCA	A, BLDG 0		HERNANDEZ, OLGA			
RESEARCH	I TRIANG	LE PARK, NC 27	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3661	-	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•				\mathcal{C}
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		09/822,103		BATES ET AL.	
Office Action Sum	mary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Olga Hernandez		3661	
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. - If the period for reply specified above is less. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period and period for reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF	OMMUNICATION. ne provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period w triod for reply will, by statute, tree months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, hower within the statutory min will apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	ation(a) filed on 21 (Octobor 2002			
1) Responsive to communication in FINAL	· · ·				
2a) This action is FINAL .	,	is action is non-fi			
3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims					ie merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,23 and 24</u> is	alare pending in the	application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdrav	vn from consider	ation.		
5) Claim(s) 2,4,11-21,23 and	24 is/are allowed.			•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-10</u> is/are rej	ected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are object					
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or	election require	ment.		
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected	d to by the Examiner	·.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) 🗌 object	ed to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request the	at any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing corre	ection filed on	. is: a)⊡ approve	ed b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawing	ngs are required in rep	oly to this Office ac	tion.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is ol	ojected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	l 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of	of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ N	None of:				
1. Certified copies of th	e priority documents	s have been rece	ived.		
2. Certified copies of th	e priority documents	s have been rece	ived in Applicati	on No	
3. Copies of the certifie application from* See the attached detailed Of	the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of			•		l application).
a) The translation of the fo	oreign language pro	visional applicati	on has been rec	eived.	,
Attachment(s)		•			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P	• • •	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Ac	tion Summary		Part o	of Paper No. 6

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/21/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It has been held that the recitation that an element is "can be" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Probst et al (6,038,505).

As per claim 1, Probst discloses:

- obtaining positioning data using a GPS (column 4, lines 1-5);
- monitoring the automatic transmission to obtain transmission data (figure 2);
- learning whether performance of the automatic transmission <u>can be</u> improved utilizing the positioning data and the transmission data (column 7, lines 15-22);

•

Application/Control Number: 09/822,103

Art Unit: 3661

- adjusting a shift threshold for the automatic transmission for the positioning data if it is determined before that the performance of the automatic transmission *can be* improved (column 7, lines 15-22).

Page 3

Further, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "can be" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Probst et al (6,038,505) in view of Tsukamoto et al (6,098,005).

As per claims 3, 5, 10, Probst does not teach how to: determine whether a driving condition exists; determine a desires threshold for the automatic transmission base on the driving condition. However, Tsukamoto teaches it (figure 1 and column 5, lines 35-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the aforementioned inventions in order to have a better independent system.

As per claim 6, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store information regarding the position of the vehicle and the transmission data in order to work properly and

Application/Control Number: 09/822,103

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 7, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to remove

information when it is not used in order to save space and money.

As per claim 8, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to monitor

the load on the transmission in order to know what shit mode to select.

As per claim 9, the applicant is claiming basic functions of an automatic transmission.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 4, 11-21, 23 and 24 are allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as

set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/822,103

Art Unit: 3661

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-0918.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Olga Hernandez

Examiner

Art Unit 3661

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600